

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.  
8425 SEASONS PARKWAY, SUITE 105  
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55125  
TEL 651.735-1100  
FAX 651.735-1102  
WWW.SSIPLAW.COM

OFFICIAL  
RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 12 2003

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2003

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	FROM:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Examiner Javid A. Amini	Steven J. Shumaker	
COMPANY:	DATE:	
USPTO	DECEMBER 12, 2003	
FAX NUMBER:	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	
703-872-9315	3	
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:	
703-872-9313	1037-040US01	
RE:	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:	
Interview Summary	09/778,484	

URGENT  FOR REVIEW  PLEASE COMMENT  PLEASE REPLY

NOTES/COMMENTS:

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Christopher J. Edge and Javid A. Amini  
 Timothy A. Fischer

Serial No.: 09/778,484 Group Art Unit: 2672

Filed: February 7, 2001 Docket No.: 10257US01

Title: COLOR IMAGE DISPLAY ACCURACY USING COMPARISON OF  
 COMPLEX SHAPES TO REFERENCE BACKGROUND

RECEIVED  
 DEC 15 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted  
 via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on December 12, 2003.

By: Angela Watson  
 Name: Angela Watson

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Commissioner for Patents  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In a telephone interview on December 12, 2003, Applicants' representative, the undersigned, spoke with Examiner Amini concerning the rejections set forth in the final Office Action mailed October 21, 2003. In the interview, the Examiner and Applicants' representative discussed the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, Applicants' representative explained the manner in which the specification adequately describes the estimation of gamma and gray balance, and sought to clarify the Examiner's understanding concerning the equations used to compute gamma.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, Applicants discussed with the Examiner the importance of a non-rectangular shape in promoting heightened sensitivity in the human eye for recognition of gray scale differences, as described in Applicants' specification. The Examiner stated that the term "non-rectangular" encompasses any shape that is not a rectangle, and suggested that Applicants consider amendments relating to the complex shape or boundary of an element.

OFFICIAL  
 RECEIVED  
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
 DEC 12 2003

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, the Examiner suggested that Applicants consider amendments relating to the complex shape or boundary of an element to more clearly distinguish over the applied prior art.

No agreement was reached in the telephone interview.

Date:

12-12-03

By:



Name: Steven J. Shumaker  
Reg. No.: 36,275

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.  
8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55125  
Telephone: 651.735.1100  
Facsimile: 651.735.1102